would barely register. 1 2 Sure. 3 My recollection is sort of vague on that. Α 4 I don't remember seeing any. 5 Do you recall seeing any members of the 6 cleaning crew? 7 Α I don't recall that either. Again, that would be one of those things that probably wouldn't 8 9 register because they're so commonplace. 10 Sure. Do you know Bailiff Sylvia Gay? 11 I probably have seen her a hundred times, 12 and I doubt I could identify her in a line-up. You know, put five bailiffs up there and I wouldn't know 13 14 which one was Sylvia Gay. 15 I understand. I understand. 16 I'm terrible with names. I just say 17 hello to everybody. 18 Subsequent to what you just testified to, 19 and it probably was the following week, but correct me if I'm wrong, you did have some conversation with Judge 20 21 Bonanno? 22 I did. The next Tuesday, and probably it 23 may have been the same day that the first article was in the paper. If not, it was certainly pretty close to 24

I had a hearing before him on the uniform motion

calendar.

I was -- I think I was unopposed. At any rate, it was a fairly minor thing. And it was before him. And, of course, I had read the article so I knew what the allegations were. And I went in and we had the hearing.

And as I'm getting ready to leave, he asks me, "Do you remember seeing me the other afternoon?" And that brought on a conversation, a brief conversation about, "Well, of course, and I had seen the article in the paper, and I do remember seeing you and so forth."

And what I remember is his saying that, "Well, if anything comes of the allegations you may be called upon to testify." I told him, well, I would certainly be happy to testify to what I know.

And he said he was delivering statistics.

And he, of course, downplayed the incident, said it

was, he didn't use the term tempest in a teapot, but

that's basically the gist of what he was saying. That

it, you know, I mean, he just was down at the other end

of the hall delivering statistics.

Q His justification for visiting either the different judges or visiting their offices was to deliver statistics?

-	74 171 11
1	A Uh-huh.
2	Q Do you know what his function is or was
3	at that point in time?
4	A No.
5	Q Chief Judge of a division?
6	A I don't. He may have been assigned to
7	the Trial Division, which is the one that will take
8	cases that are longer than the average case so there i
9	a six- or eight-day trial period.
10	Q Did you draw a conclusion as to what
11	statistics he was talking about?
12	A Oh, he said something about case,
13	caseload statistics or case statistics. It didn't
14	you know, if it was more specific than that I didn't
15	really want to know, frankly, and it didn't matter to
16	me.
17	Q But on the Tuesday after this incident,
18	which occurred on a Thursday; is that right?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Okay. His comment to you some five days
21	later was that, "Do you remember it? It may be
22	necessary to remember it. I was delivering
23	statistics"?
24	A Yes.

Did he mention any other reason that he

might be going from door to door or judge to judge?

A Not to me.

Q Now, you've been incredibly helpful, and there's two things I want to do. One is to make sure that you don't leave here saying, "Gosh, I wish they had asked me or I should have said." Anything that's on your mind I want you to tell this Grand Jury.

A Okay.

Q And then I want to give them a chance to ask you anything that's on their mind.

A Okay.

Q Can you think of anything?

A Yes. Because obviously I've replayed this a hundred times. And, of course, I've practiced in this courthouse for years and years and I know virtually everybody and have a good deal of respect.

And right now the fact that the Hillsborough judiciary is in a time of crisis is a concern to every lawyer who practices before these judges, and certainly to the reputation of the judiciary and to the profession.

So I knew all about the background of these things. And I knew there was bad blood between some of the judges and a lot of political rivalries.

And I would have, frankly, rather have been almost

anywhere than in the hallway when all of this occurred, as you can well imagine.

And I didn't ask Judge Bonanno anything else about the incident. I certainly didn't think it was appropriate for me to do that.

I knew from past conversations that he certainly was not on good terms with Judge Holder, and that Judge Holder had antagonized his good friend Dennis Alvarez, who's the Chief Judge.

And I knew from some of the other judges that there was a morale problem up here generally speaking because of factions within the judiciary. And I've tried cases before almost all of them, or had hearings and other matters, and I have a lot of respect for their skills as judges. But, of course, this is such a wretched climate to work in it's almost an unpleasant place to come to practice, which is really a shame. But, nevertheless, that's true.

I -- Judge Holder has more than once encouraged me to apply for judgeships, and has contacted the Governor on my behalf. And I know -- and I stopped by his office not long after this, and I told him my name was up again. And he said, "Well, I'm going to immediately write to Jeb and tell him that there's several people on the list who I think highly

of and you're one of them."

And I told him, you know, "You understand that I was an unfortunate observer to the events that are currently making the headlines." And he said he knew that, you know.

And then I saw later the wonderful article in the St. Pete Times that said something like, "Lawyer to help judge," in which a lawyer by the name of Ralph Fernandez characterized my testimony as, "Foster will be good for us."

Q I thought that was interesting, too.

A I could have popped him, frankly. I've never talked to the guy. I do not know him well and never said anything more than just hello to him. He has no idea what my testimony is. And I think that was just very unprofessional on his part.

Q You know, obviously this is participatory. They're only going to know what they hear from folks. Have you had time to reflect and form an opinion, a personal opinion, just based on knowing the players and the people, as to why Judge Bonanno was in Judge Holder's office that evening?

A I have no idea. I think it was an incredibly dumb thing to do. I think if it was a -- if it was a matter of snooping or misguided -- a display

of bad judgment because of his friendship with Judge Alvarez, that's a possibility. I think it was a mistake for him to have done that under the present circumstances.

Q When you allude to that, is that tied in with at that point Judge Alvarez stating he wanted to be State Attorney?

A Yes.

Q And Judge Bonanno wanting to be Chief Judge, is that the scenario?

A Yes, it just -- it goes back to even before that. You know, there's never been a Chief Judge of this duration, this long term. This has always been a position, frankly, that a lot of judges didn't want but they accepted because of the administrative responsibilities.

They didn't think it was that good a job, but they accepted it because they were senior and felt that it was important for them to do. Judge Lenfesty, for instance, was that way. He was a wonderful guy and just took the responsibility even though he knew it wasn't a very nice job to have to do.

There have been other people who thought there ought to be term limits, I think is the term they sort of batted around, for the Chief Judge of the

circuit. Judge Holder has made no secret of his desire to move up into a position like that. Judge Moody raised it at one time.

It just became a difficult atmosphere, I think, for a lot of the judges. There were in's and outs. And it was just an absurd situation, frankly, and many judges here in Hillsborough County who were embarrassed by it; others who just accepted it as sort of the background for what was otherwise a good job.

You know, it's not a matter of competence in trying cases or handling their dockets or anything of that kind, it's a matter of personal power of politics to a large degree.

Q Do you think it's healthy to have a Chief Judge remain as much as six, eight, ten years?

A No, I don't. I think it should rotate from time to time. I just don't think it's a healthy situation at all.

Q I really want your opinion on this. Are we looking at a tempest in a teapot, or is there something here that a Grand Jury ought to know?

A Well, my own view is that in some respects it is a tempest in a teapot. It has aspects of it that go beyond that because of the effect it has, I think, on the overall atmosphere within the

courthouse.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I've known all of the judges for years and years and years, and I've been on friendly terms with all of them. And just to see this kind of a situation develop strikes me as a bad thing. known Judge Alvarez for years and years, too.

I think there is such a thing as, I hate to put this the way but it's probably true, too much power in the hands of one person. As much as I respect him, and I don't think he's abused the power, per se, but I think it is -- there is always the danger when there is too much power in the hands of one person that it will be abused.

And I know this came up when Judge Ward's -- the allegations against Judge Ward were initially made and there was a question of cover-up, and I had no idea whether it was true or false. Judge Ward for years and years. But that was, I know, one of the first concerns, that the effort to avoid embarrassment in his tenure may have been a motive.

And I don't think that motive would have existed if it wasn't viewed so personally. And I think that anything we can do to help re-establish equilibrium in the judiciary is a real good thing.

> Q Okay.

```
1
            Α
                    In fact, I even thought, gee, at one
 2
     point maybe we ought to appoint a committee of the
 3
     senior lawyers in this community to review the whole
     situation and make some recommendations. But I think
 4
 5
     this is a better avenue for it.
 6
            0
                    We're going to ask you a few questions if
 7
     that's all right.
 8
            Α
                    All right.
 9
                    MR. HILL: I know there was a question
            back here.
10
11
                    GRAND JUROR: Yes.
12
                            EXAMINATION
13
     BY GRAND JUROR:
14
                    What I had, you entered the courthouse
            Q
15
     that particular day at approximately 4:55, five
     o'clock, in that --
16
17
                    Uh-huh.
            Α
18
            0
                    And would you know what time it was when
19
     you left the building --
20
                    I think --
            Α
21
            Q
                    -- or you were done?
22
                    I think it was around 5:25 to 5:30.
            Α
23
                    All right.
                    And give or take five or ten minutes
24
25
     either side of that to be --
```

41 Right. So your observation then of Judge 1 0 Bonanno in the area in the hallway would have been 2 3 approximately the 5:15, 5:20? 4 Α Yes, sir. In that area? 5 0 6 Yes, sir. 7 Q Okay. 8 GRAND JUROR: Thank you very much. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. 10 MR. HILL: Yes, sir. BY GRAND JUROR: 11 12 Have you ever been contacted by anyone in this building about giving a political campaign 13 14 contribution? Oh, I've probably been contacted by 15 virtually everyone in this building about giving a 16 17 campaign contribution. You know, I mean, many of these 18 judges raise funds for the sake of basically driving 19 away opposition. Oh, I'm sure I have. 20 I could probably, if I had a list in 21 front of me, I could probably run down that everybody 22 from the Clerk of Court right up to, you know, most of 23 the judges, yes. Now, I should -- by them, that's

probably not accurate, by people who were raising funds

for them. That would be a better way of putting it.

24

Q Okay. Ever by a particular judge? And if so, have you ever made a contribution and delivered it to that particular judge?

A The judges are not permitted to solicit funds themselves. No judge has ever solicited funds from me, nor would I ever deliver funds to a judge.

FURTHER EXAMINATION

BY MR. HILL:

Q What about a judge on behalf of another candidate, maybe a non-judicial candidate?

A No, that's never -- that's never happened. If I've been contacted it's usually been by a lawyer on behalf of a judge who was a member of a committee set up to raise funds for that judge. And if it was another political person, it was again typically lawyers, lawyers who will be contacting me because they're on the committee of a certain candidate.

Q How would you view a judge calling you somewhere between Monday and Friday between 8:00 to 5:00, a judge that you practiced in front of, asking for a contribution for a non-judicial candidate?

A I would think that it would be unethical and probably a violation of the Judicial Canons for a judge to do that. I don't think a judge can ever in -- I don't think a judge can ever do that. I'll just put

that the way.

Now, maybe there are exceptions to the rule. But if there are, I think discretion would be the better part of valor there, that it should not be done. Let's just put that the way.

MR. HILL: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.

FURTHER EXAMINATION

BY GRAND JUROR:

Q If you give a contribution, is there a certain amount that you are able, I mean, that YOU'RE allowed to give?

A Yes. And my general plan has been to give as little as I could get away with giving. By the time you get hit by all the candidates, you might be talking about a campaign cycle of where you've given away a fair amount of money.

So, I mean, I don't want to insult them, but I certainly don't want to get anywhere near the limit, because you can't.

MR. HILL: Mr. Foster, though, as a person that has to run and raise money, it's that attitude that I sure despise.

THE WITNESS: Well, I have been there and done that, unfortunately unsuccessfully, so I

know the feeling. 1 BY GRAND JUROR (resuming): 2 3 So there is no given amount that --There is. There is. I just don't know 4 Α 5 what it is. It might be \$500, but absolutely do not 6 quote me on that because I'm not sure. I just know 7 that it's -- I don't think I have ever approached that limit. 8 9 You've never given that much? 10 Α No, I'm too cheap. I work too hard for it. 11 BY GRAND JUROR: 12 13 Mike? Q 14 Α Yes. 15 If I'm wrong, say so. 16 Α Okay. 17 But right now no one has given me any evidence to say that statistics on a routine docket is 18 19 important enough for a judge to deliver after hours to another judge's office. Now, am I underrating the 20 21 value of this document? 22 Well, I don't know what it was to be sure 23 if I know the answer to that. I know the judges all keep track of their caseloads, and I'm sure there are 24

administrative responsibilities that go along with that

where they have to report their caseloads, the number 1 2 assigned to them, the number closed, that sort of 3 thing. And I don't know how important they are. I'm really not sure. I'm really not sure. I would prejudge that a role for the 5 administrative assistant to fill out the form and pass 6 7 it on and make sure I get one. 8 Uh-huh. Α 9 Okay, thank you. 10 Α Yeah, I don't think it's something where 11 if you don't have it to all the judges by five o'clock 12 that afternoon that the world's going to come to an end 13 at the courthouse, exactly. 14 GRAND JUROR: Okay. 15 want to thank you for being here. 16 17

MR. HILL: Any other questions?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

MR. HILL: I would simply remind you, of course, that anything said here remains here. I would put you on notice it's at least possible as you leave here you will run into the Chief No reason to put you on notice, though, Judge. and you won't be surprised that way.

> THE WITNESS: Okay.

MR. HILL: But we do thank you.

18

19

20

21

22

23